ILECs object that the Commission has prohibited ILECs from imposing the entire incremental cost of these interim number portability measures on new entrants -- who are claimed to be the "cost causers" -- and that the Commission's order provides, instead, for the competitively neutral recovery of these costs through such means as assessments on all carriers based on relative revenues, markets shares, or numbers of customers served.

First, BellSouth contends that the Commission has no "jurisdiction" over "transitional" forms of number portability and that the statutory requirement of competitively neutral cost recovery applies only to "permanent" number portability arrangements that fully comply with Section 153(30)'s definition of "number portability." This claim is baseless, and was correctly rejected in The First Report and Order. Section 251(b) requires that carrier "provide, to the extent technically feasible, number portability in accordance with the requirements of the Commission," and Section 251(e)(2) provides that the "cost of . . . number portability shall be borne by all carriers on a competitively neutral basis as determined by the Commission."

Because RCF, DID, and like arrangements have been determined to be the only forms of number portability that are technically feasible today, the Commission not only had "jurisdiction" to establish their requirements, but also had the statutory duty to establish competitively neutral means of imposing their costs on "all carriers."

⁷⁰ See BellSouth Petition, pp. 4-7.

⁷¹ First Report and Order, para. 110.

Second, BellSouth, GTE, and CBT have claimed that it was arbitrary and capricious, and even unconstitutional, for the Commission to provide for the recovery of interim portability costs not from the alleged cost causers, but from all carriers on competitively neutral bases. This claim is frivolous even apart from the fact that the statute requires the principles that the Commission adopted. The costs of number portability -- like the costs of removing other artificial legal and economic barriers to local exchange competition -- are not "legitimate cost of entry" of CLECs, 72 but costs of creating a competitive environment that will benefit all consumers. The costs are thus appropriately placed on all carriers on neutral bases, so that the costs can be passed through to and recovered from customers generally.

In this regard, while it is true that ILECs will bear a percentage of the costs proportionate to their very large relative share of the total business, that is necessary and proper. Contrary to GTE's claims, it merely "ensur[es] that all carriers share the cost burden of implementing interim number portability." Similarly, a necessary and proper consequence of the spreading of these costs among all carriers will mean that new entrants will bear relatively small fractions of the costs and will not be put at a relative cost disadvantage by virtue of their small initial market shares. 74

⁷² BellSouth Petition, p. 8.

⁷³ GTE Petition, p. 12.

^{74 &}lt;u>Cf.</u>, GTE Petition, p. 11.

Finally, GTE's and CBT's suggestions that these cost recovery principles will effect a taking of property in violation of the Federal Constitution are frivolous. The principles will merely impose on ILECs their fair share of costs that are imposed to benefit the public, and ILECs have the same opportunity to recover their share of these costs in retail rates as do CLECs. Further, even if there were any basis for believing that market forces or state regulation could prevent ILECs from recovering their fair share of these costs — as there is not — an ILEC could then advance a taking claim against state authorities only upon a showing that the "end result" of a state rate order as a whole was to deprive the ILEC of sufficient revenues to cover operating costs and to attract capital. The Such a showing is an impossibility where, as here, an order could only affect a small fraction of an incumbent monopolist's costs.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Mark C. Rosenblum
Roy E. Hoffinger
Clifford K. Williams

AT&T CORP.

Its Attorneys Room 3252I1 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, NJ 07920 (908) 221-7935

September 27, 1996

⁷⁵ See, e.g., Duquesne Light Co. v. Barasch, 488 U.S. 299, 310 (1989).

⁷⁶ See, e.g., id.

ATTACHMENT A

AIRTOUCH COMMUNICATIONS, INC. ("AirTouch")

AMERICAN COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC. ("ACSI")

AMERICAN MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION, INC.

("AMTA")

Bell Atlantic

Bell Atlantic NYNEX Mobile ("BANM")

BELLSOUTH Corporation, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth")

CELLULAR TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION ("CTIA")

CINCINNATI BELL Telephone Company ("CBT")

GTE Service Corporation ("GTE")

JOHN STAURULAKIS, INC. ("JSI")

KMC Telecom, Inc. ("KMC")

MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCI Metro ("MCI")

NATIONAL EXCHANGE CARRIER ASSOCIATION ("NECA")

Nextel COMMUNICATIONS, INC. ("Nextel")

NEXTLINK COMMUNICATION L.L.C. ("NEXTLINK")

National Telephone Cooperative Association ("NTCA") and the Organization for the

Promotion and Advancement of Small Telecommunications Companies ("OPASTCO")

NYNEX Telephone Companies ("NYNEX")

PACIFIC BELL MOBILE SERVICES

Pacific Telesis Group and its subsidiaries Pacific Bell, Nevada Bell and Pacific Bell

Mobile Services ("Pacific Telesis")

SBC Communications, Inc. ("SBC") on behalf of Southwestern Bell Telephone

Company ("SWBT"), Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems, Inc. ("SBMS"), and

Southwestern Bell Communications Services, Inc. ("SBCS")

U S WEST, Inc. ("U S WEST")

UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION ("USTA")

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Karen Gillis, do hereby certify that on this 27th day of September, 1996, a copy of the foregoing "AT&T Opposition To Petitions For Reconsideration And Clarification" was mailed by U.S. first class mail, postage prepaid, to the parties listed below:

M. Robert Sutherland
Theodore R. Kingsley
1155 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 1700
Atlanta, GA 30309-3610
Attorneys for BellSouth Corporation and
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc

Jeffrey S. Linder
Angela N. Watkins
Wiley, Rein & Fielding
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
Attorneys for GTE Service Corporation

Christopher J. Wilson Frost & Jacobs 2500 PNC Center 201 East Fifth Street Cincinnati, OH 45202 Mary McDermott Linda Kent Charles D. Cosson Keith Townsend U.S. Telephone Association 1401 H Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005

Thomas E. Taylor Sr. Vice President-General Counsel Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company 201 East Fourth Street 6th Floor Cincinnati, OH 45202

James D. Ellis
Robert M. Lynch
David F. Brown
175 E. Houston
Room 1254
San Antonio, TX 78205
Attorneys for SBC Communications Inc.

David J. Gudino HQEOFO5 GTE Service Corporation P.O. Box 152092 Irving, TX 75015-2092

Durward D. Dupre
Mary W. Marks
One Bell Center
Room 3558
St. Louis, MO 63101
Attorneys for Southwestern Bell
Telephone Company

Gail L. Polivy GTE Service Corporation 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

Campbell L. Ayling
1111 Westchester Avenue
White Plains, NY 10604
Attorney for The NYNEX
Telephone Companies

Lewis R. Cohen
John H. Harwood II
Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering
2445 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037-1420

Mark D. Roellig
Dan L. Poole
Jeffrey S. Bork
1120 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20036
Attorneys for U S WEST, Inc.

Elizabeth R. Sachs
Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez
1111 Nineteenth Street, N.W.
12th Floor
Washington, DC 20036
Attorneys for American Mobile
Telecommunications Association, Inc.

John M. Goodman 1133 20th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 Attorney for Bell Atlantic Bruce Beard 17330 Preston Road Suite 100A Dallas, TX 75252 Attorney for Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems

David A. Gross
Kathleen Q. Abernathy
AirTouch Communications, Inc.
1818 N Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036

Charles V. Gerkin, Jr. Chorey, Taylor & Feil, P.C. 3399 Peachtree Road, N.E. Suite 1700 The Lenox Building Atlanta, GA 30326

Riley M. Murphy
James C. Falvey
American Communications Services, Inc.
131 National Business Parkway
Suite 100
Annapolis Junction, MD 20701

Brad E. Mutschelknaus Edward A. Yorkgitis, Jr. Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP 1200 19th Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036

John T. Scott, III
Crowell & Moring LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
Attorneys for Bell Atlantic
NYNEX Mobile, Inc.

Wendy C. Chow
Michael Altschul
Randall S. Coleman
Cellular Telecommunications Industry
Association
1250 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036

Michael S. Fox Director, Regulatory Affairs John Staurulakis, Inc. 6315 Seabrook Road Seabrook, MD 20706

Andrew D. Lipman
Erin M. Reilly
Swidler & Berlin, Chartered
3000 K Street, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007
Attorneys for KMC Telecom, Inc.

Daniel M. Waggoner
Davis Wright Tremaine
2600 Century Square
1501 Fourth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101
Attorneys for NEXTLINK

Communication L.L.C.

J. Scott Bonney
Vice President
Regulatory and External Affairs
NEXTLINK Communications L.L.C.
155 108th Avenue, N.E.
Bellevue, WA 98004

Robert S. Foosaner
Lawrence R. Krevor
Laura L. Holloway
Nextel Communications, Inc.
800 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1001
Washington, DC 20006

Loretta J. Garcia
Donald J. Elardo
1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
Attorneys for MCI Telecommunications
Corporation
MCI Metro

Richard L. Cys
Davis Wright Tremaine
1155 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20036
Attorneys for NEXTLINK
Communication L.L.C.

David Cosson
L. Marie Guillory
NTCA
2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20037

Lisa M. Zaina
OPASTCO
21 Dupont Circle, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20036

Marlin D. Ard
Nancy C. Woolf
140 New Montgomery Street
Room 1523
Fifteenth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
Attorneys for Pacific Telesis Group,
Pacific Bell, Nevada Bell, Pacific Bell
Mobile Services

Lewis R. Cohen
John H. Harwood II
Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering
2445 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037-1420
Attorneys for U S WEST

Mary McDermott
Linda Kent
Charles D. Cosson
Keith Townsend
U.S. Telephone Association
1401 H Street, N.W.
Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005

Mark D. Roellig Dan L. Poole Jeffrey S. Bork 1020 19th Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 Attorneys for U S WEST